The Office Action dated November 28, 2006 subjects claims 18-34 to a restriction

and/or election requirement. The Office Action asserts that the claims are directed to two

separate inventions: a polynucleotide sequence according to SEQ ID NO: 1 (Group I), and a

polypeptide sequence according to SEQ ID NO: 2 (Group II).

Applicant elects Group I drawn to the polynucleotide sequence without traverse.

In accord with the election, claims 18-34 have been canceled, and claims 35-45 have been added

to claim a method of using the previously claimed polynucleotide sequence. The Examiner will

note that claims 35-45 do not claim a polypeptide sequence identified in SEQ ID NO: 2. The

phrase "coding for a polypeptide sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 2" is meant only to describe

further the polynucleotide sequence that is used in the method.

The Applicants respectfully submit that pending claims 35-45 are in condition for

allowance. As such, examination and allowance of pending claims 35-45 are respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Wi∕lliam H. Log≰d∕on∠

Registration No. 22,132

Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Telephone: 412-471-8815

Facsimile: 412-471-4094

acsimile. 412 471 4054

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com

{W0339851.1}

Page 4